(1) 4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT - BILASPUR C.G.

WRIT PETITION No. 3589 /2006

PETITIONER : K. P. Dwivedi s/oLate Hiramani Dwivedi

Aged – 48 Years

Posted as –Sub Engineer

AT –Sub-Division-Kota, Division -Pendra

DISTT. –Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3663/06
Presented by Shri Parishkar ma
dated 17/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Through – The Secretary,

Public Works Department,

D. K. S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR,CG

2. Superintendent Engineer,

Public Works Department,[NHCircle]

Distt.-Bilaspur[CG]

3. Executive Engineer,

Public Works Department,

Pendra-Division,Distt.-Bilaspur,CG

RECEIVED-COPY
17/7/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE – **226 & 227** OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, SUITABLE DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

34

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No...3589 **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

20-7-2006:-

Shri Ravish Verma, counsel for the petitioner.

Miss Dipali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged his posting order dated 7th July 2006, Annexure-P/1, whereby he has been posted at Jashpur. The petitioner under the Government order dated 30th June 2006 was allocated to the Bilaspur Sub Division, and thereafter, under the impugned order he has been posted at Jashpur.

The petitioner has not been able to allege and establish that the impugned order is outcome of malafide or against the statutory rules.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has already made a representation to the effect that his wife is suffering from ailment but the same has not been decided, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

35

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.2 raising all his grievances within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, in an objective manner, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2779/2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Barve

24.7.06

P.T.O.